UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. CR 10-133) Milwaukee, Wisconsin
VS.)) January 21, 2011
AMANI BOOKER,) 1:35 p.m.)
Defendant	.) ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED
BEFORE THE HONORA	IPTION OF SENTENCING HEARING BLE CHARLES N. CLEVERT, JR. ATES DISTRICT JUDGE
For the Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:	Office of the US Attorney
	By: JOSEPH R. WALL 517 E Wisconsin Ave - Rm 530 Milwaukee, WI 53202 Ph: 414-297-1700 Fax: 414-297-1738 joseph.wall@usdoj.gov
For the Defendant AMANI BOOKER:	Federal Defender Services of
(Present)	Eastern Wisconsin, Inc. By: BRIAN P. MULLINS 517 E Wisconsin Ave - Rm 182
	Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202 Ph: 414-221-9900 Fax: 414-221-9901
	brian_mullins@fd.org
U.S. probation office:	JAMES P. FETHERSTON (414) 297-1736
U.S. Official Transcriber:	JOHN T. SCHINDHELM, RMR, CRR, johns54@sbcglobal.net
Proceedings recorded by elect transcript produced by compute	_ · / / \

TRANSCRIPT OF AUDIO FILE 1 2 JANUARY 21, 2011 3 1:35:28 p.m. - 2:42:52 p.m. 4 5 THE BAILIFF: Please be seated. 6 THE CLERK: This is United States of America vs. Amani 7 Booker, Case No. 10-CR-133 here for a sentencing. May I have 8 the appearances, please. 9 MR. WALL: Joseph Wall for the United States. Good 10 afternoon, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 12 PROBATION OFFICER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jim Fetherston from Probation. 13 14 THE COURT: It's good to see you. 15 MR. MULLINS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Brian 16 Mullins appears in behalf of Amani Booker who also appears in 17 custody. 18 THE COURT: Good afternoon to each of you. 19 Mr. Booker, as you know, you entered a guilty plea in 20 this courtroom on the 20th of September of last year and the 21 court directed that a presentence report be prepared. That 22 report has been tendered, and I'd like to know if you've had a 23 chance to go over the presentence report and discuss it with 24 Mr. Mullins. 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1

2

3 4

5

6

8

7

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Are there any other matters you need to review with your attorney before we begin today?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Mullins, at this juncture I note that you have objected to the defendant receiving a two-level increase under 3B1.1(c) of the guidelines.

MR. MULLINS: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you continue with that objection today?

MR. MULLINS: We do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard?

MR. MULLINS: Yes, Your Honor, just in reply to the probation office's response. I think the probation office focuses on the overall conduct of Mr. Booker's relationship with Miss Arnold. And I don't think that is relevant to determining Mr. Booker's -- whether Mr. Booker played a leadership role in the offense for which this court is sentencing him, which is trafficking of a minor in sexual activity.

Strictly related to that offense, I believe Miss Arnold and Mr. Booker were equal. Mr. Booker did not direct Miss Arnold, for example, to solicit the victim in this case who I will refer to as "Sapphire" as the government did yesterday at Miss Arnold's sentencing. Mr. Booker did not direct Miss Arnold to solicit "Sapphire;" he did not direct Miss Arnold to instruct "Sapphire" on techniques which the evidence shows she did on her own; and, he did not direct Miss Arnold to, for example, collect

a certain portion of money from "Sapphire."

Miss Arnold certainly played a major role, in part, in getting "Sapphire" to participate in the activity for which both Miss Arnold and Mr. Booker are being sentenced.

Miss Arnold contacted customers from her telephone.

She did ask the victim of her age five times. She, I think you could say, recruited the victim in the case to join her and

Mr. Booker because she suggested to the victim that only earning \$50 was not enough; that she could earn more if she were to join up with Mr. Booker and Miss Arnold. There's no evidence that

Mr. Booker told Miss Arnold to recruit the victim in this way.

So I don't think Mr. Booker played any role above that which was played by Miss Arnold.

The probation office cites <u>United States vs. Young</u> to support the enhancement in this case, but I think the facts of each case are different. In <u>Young</u> the defendant was charged and sentenced for using the facilities of interstate commerce to facilitate prostitution. And there, the court observed that the defendant in that case was more or less the manager of a massage parlor, was divvying out wages, was reporting to the owner of the massage parlor when employees were misbehaving, was assigning customers to different masseuses. So I think in that case, and for the offense that that defendant was charged with, the evidence is much more clear-cut that she was playing a manager role.

But here, for strictly the offense for which
Mr. Booker is being sentenced today, Mr. Booker played no
additional role that Miss Arnold did not play and, therefore, he
should not be given points for a leadership role.

That's all we have on that issue.

THE COURT: Mr. Wall?

MR. WALL: Your Honor, I have to agree. There's not enough evidence in the record to support that supervisory enhancement. And I will say, based on facts that I know are not on the record, that there is -- that's not the case. In other words, I don't think Mr. Booker supervised Holly Arnold as to this offense conduct here that's in front of the court.

I think in this case both Holly Arnold and "Sapphire" were simply prostitutes of Mr. Booker. And I don't know of any directions that Mr. Booker gave to Holly Arnold that she was supposed to give to "Sapphire." Again, in the record, out of the record, it seems like when Holly Arnold and "Sapphire" were together alone, Holly Arnold did give her some directions. There's just not enough here and I don't think the court should apply the enhancement, with all respect to Mr. Fetherston.

THE COURT: One moment, please.

Mr. Fetherston, are there some facts in the record that you look to in support of the enhancement discussed in the presentence report and, in particular, the addendum which addresses the defendant's objection?

PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor. Those facts are outlined in our response. But just to highlight, the relationship between -- the way we looked at it -- between Amani Booker and Holly Arnold was that of pimp and prostitute, to put it in very blunt terms. And I believe Miss Arnold, even in her statements to law enforcement, acknowledged that she was in an underling role, that she worked for Amani Booker. Therefore, those activities that she undertook with "Sapphire" in the hotel room in the weekend in 2010 would have been for Mr. Booker.

There's also factual information from the presentence report that Mr. Booker did have a claim to a share of the proceeds here and a larger share than the women that were prostituting for him. That's evidenced with Miss Arnold's statements regarding the laptop computer that the defendant purchased using money she had earned as a prostitute. And then some other information that's submitted in the written record support our position.

In turning to the case <u>United States vs. Young</u>. While that case is not directly on point, I think the Seventh Circuit addresses the issue of leadership role in basically saying that an individual orchestrating or coordinating the activities of others, it can be considered for the two-level enhancement under 3B1.1(c).

THE COURT: I'd like for counsel to address the facts underlying the offense, particularly as they relate to what

transpired after the young woman was approached and taken to the hotel.

MR. MULLINS: Well, Your Honor, my understanding is that she went to the hotel. They took her to the hotel, she showered. I believe Mr. Booker asked her to shower. And then Mr. Booker took some photos of her which were posted on the Internet. And then Miss Arnold used her contacts in her cell phone to contact her customers and try to solicit what I believe the discovery refers to as prostitution dates.

Eventually, she advertised the fact that two women would be present, or a minor and a woman would be present. She didn't advertise that it was a minor, she advertised that two women would be present.

And eventually -- I believe the evidence is a little bit disputed as to how many customers came, but I will concede that perhaps two customers engaged in sexual relations with the victim, with "Sapphire," and that the money in those -- as a result of those transactions was essentially divided among the three. It's unclear to me from the evidence in the record how the money was divided.

And so that's, I think, the summary of my understanding of the evidence as to what transpired after the victim went to the motel.

THE COURT: Mr. Wall, do you disagree with anything that was just stated?

MR. WALL: Yes. In the discovery it's pretty clear that the money all went to Mr. Booker, which is the nature of the relationship. Miss Arnold always gave her money to Mr. Booker. I believe all that's in the discovery. And I think "Sapphire" here actually saw that happening. And I believe that's in the discovery too.

But that's, you know, Judge, that's just part of the relationship. That's how this works. There's not a division of the money. And I think that the fact that the money went to Mr. Booker is -- doesn't support the enhancement at all. It just shows, as I was saying earlier, that these are his prostitutes. This is the relationship. And they make money and they give it to Mr. Booker. Mr. Booker was the driver of the car. He was the one who approached "Sapphire" on the street. Really, Miss Arnold's participation in that, by her own admission, is that she asked "Sapphire" five times how old "Sapphire" was, and Mr. Booker asked three times, but she never said "Mr. Booker told me to ask her."

Mr. Booker told this "Sapphire" that if she prostituted for him she could have anything she wanted, just like Holly Arnold had. That was his statement, part of the enticement. He's the one who took her to a hotel room. As I recall, he's the one who paid for the hotel room. He gave "Sapphire" the marijuana to smoke. He took the nude photos of "Sapphire." He used his computer to post those photos to the

Internet. And, as I say, he received the cash proceeds.

There's just not enough here, Your Honor. Quite frankly, if there's a finding here, I can't support it on appeal. It's just not here.

THE COURT: Now, I note the application notes, and, in particular, note 2 indicates in the last sentence:

"An upward departure may be warranted, however, in the case of a defendant who did not organize, lead, manage, or supervise another participant, but who nevertheless exercised management responsibility over the property, assets, or activities of a criminal organization."

I would gather that you and the defense would first conclude this is not a criminal organization. But even if it might be considered a criminal organization, what Mr. Booker engaged in was not general management but, rather, he acted consistent with a custom or practice based on his relationship with his co-defendant. Is that a fair and accurate assessment?

MR. WALL: I agree with the court. I mean, he's essentially an employer and they're two employees and neither employee is supervising the other employee. They're just working for him.

MR. MULLINS: And I acknowledged in our objection that application note 2 might be applicable in this circumstance, so I would agree with the court's analysis.

I did want to just address the probation office's kind

of reference to the computer. I don't necessarily see that as showing that Mr. Booker played any leadership role. The computer was an essential tool of the criminal enterprise.

Whether it was an enterprise of two, three, it was certainly an essential tool. The money that the criminal enterprise gained was used to invest in a tool that was necessary to continue that enterprise. So I think the fact that these proceeds were used to buy a computer doesn't necessarily show that Mr. Booker played any leadership role.

THE COURT: While I do agree with the assessments of counsel, I certainly do see facts in this record upon which it could be suggested that Mr. Booker is to be assessed two points, particularly the fact that the relationship of Mr. Booker and Miss Arnold was one which involved him enlisting her to engage in prostitution and during the course of their joint activities he did take the lion's share of what was earned. And he was the person in this particular instance who approached the child victim and enlisted her to work as a prostitute.

However, other facts show that Miss Arnold also influenced the child to make the decision to work as a prostitute, as pointed out. In particular, she did query the 14-year-old about her age, and directed the 14-year-old regarding how to conduct herself during their dates.

And so there was more -- there was divided responsibility here, notwithstanding the fact that Mr. Booker

was somewhat dominant in his relationship with Miss Arnold.

On the basis of these findings which support the defendant's objection that the court not apply a two-level enhancement under U.S. Sentencing Guideline 3B1.C, the court does sustain the defendant's objection and determines that the enhancement should not be assessed.

That having been said, are there other objections that the defendant wishes to assert at this time?

MR. MULLINS: No, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are there any objections by the government which have not already been articulated?

MR. WALL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The court, therefore, finds the facts in the presentence report should be adopted as its findings of fact.

The court is also sustaining the objection to the enhancement and, as a consequence, the defendant is placed at a criminal history category V, with a total offense level of 31, assuming that the government is supporting three points for acceptance of responsibility.

MR. WALL: We are, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I do believe that the request for three points is warranted and, therefore, on the basis of that request, which the court grants, the defendant is at offense level 31 which translates into an advisory guideline of from 168

to 210 months. The fine range goes from \$15,000 to \$150,000. And, inasmuch as one count is involved here, the Mandatory Victims Restitution Act calls for an assessment of \$100.

If no further objection is heard and the parties do not take exception to the findings as stated, we'll proceed to the next phase of sentencing. Mr. Wall?

MR. WALL: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll start out by advising the court of something positive here, and that is that Mr. Booker did sit down with law enforcement, I believe it was last week, and give a full statement regarding his knowledge of some other criminal activity in the Milwaukee area that may end up being helpful. I don't know if it will. As of right now it's just a statement. It could develop into something, and maybe Mr. Booker will be back in front of this court for some type of sentence reduction. But the important thing is that by making a statement I think an individual shows an even stronger acceptance of responsibility. So that is something positive.

And I'm going to shift now to the statutory factors.

First, 3553(a)(1), you look at the nature of the offense.

Really, next to physical violence this is some of the most serious conduct sanctioned by the federal criminal code.

Mr. Booker enticed a child to join his prostitution business. I believe he knew this "Sapphire" was under 18. Whether he knew she was 14, 15, 16, or 17, I don't know. I don't think he cared one bit. But I think he knew she was underage. Again, he and

Holly Arnold asked her a total of eight times how old she was.

You don't do that if you believe someone when they say "I'm 18."

And I would note that neither one of them -- Mr. Booker in

particular -- did not even take the simple step of asking

"Sapphire" for her ID or a driver's license. And again, that's because he just didn't care.

What mattered to him on the street, as he was recruiting her, was that he was now going to be able to advertise a two-girl special on his prostitution Internet site. Very, very serious conduct.

As part of this enticement here, Mr. Booker told her that, "Sapphire," that if she prostituted for him she could, quote, "have anything she wanted," unquote, just like Holly Arnold had. That was a lie.

Mr. Booker is the one who took this child to a hotel room where she would both be sleeping and having sex with strange men. Amani Booker gave this child marijuana to smoke. Amani Booker took nude photos of this child, including photos that displayed her genital area. Mr. Booker used his computer to post these photos to an Internet site to advertise this child's sexual services. And Mr. Booker is the one who received the cash proceeds from this child's sex acts with the clients that were found on the Internet and others.

That's the nature of the offense. Extremely serious crime. Extremely serious conduct within the time itself.

Under 3553(a)(1), we also look at the background and the character of the defendant. And there's not a lot of good things to say here based on the facts in the presentence report. And that's unfortunate. That's an unfortunate thing for me to say. What we have here in his background is a series of unbroken criminal convictions since he was 17 years old, including some very serious crimes.

We have a second-degree recklessly endangering safety while armed in 1995 that earned him three years in prison. The offense conduct is that he fired a shot from a handgun that struck the victim's forehead, and then the slug wrapped itself around and ended up in the victim's back. He gets paroled from that sentence, and then he's revoked because of new criminal conduct.

In 2004 we have another serious felony. And in-between there are other crimes, but there's three that really do stand out. And in 2004, possession with intent to distribute cocaine. Extremely serious crime. Terrible, terrible drug.

Again, he earned a prison sentence through his conviction there. And then about a year ago we have this domestic battery, bodily harm. The victim was one of his prostitutes who told law enforcement that Mr. Booker frequently beat her. In this particular instance she was trying to flee from Mr. Booker and from his prostitution business. She was trying to get away from this. And she had been in the business with Mr. Booker for five

2 at

years. She stated that she cut her hand when he thrust a knife at her stomach and she grabbed the knife. Again, very serious conduct resulting in this conviction.

Again, looking at his background, we look at paragraph 24 of the presentence report. Mr. Booker says he wants this court to know that the entire 10 years he was out prostituting women he was, quote, "always looking for a way out," unquote. That's not true, because we know from paragraph 79 to 81 in the PSR that he has absolutely no verifiable history of working an honest job. We also know that he's never had to file an income tax return from paragraph 85 of the presentence.

THE COURT: Never had to file an income tax return?

MR. WALL: Never did and probably never -- never did,

Your Honor. That's a better way to put it. He never filed an income tax return.

He admits that he started prostituting women more than 10 years ago. He said it was easy and quick money. He admits to earning, in quotes, "up to a thousand dollars a night." But we know that he actually earned nothing. It was the women he prostituted who earned this money and handed it over to Mr. Booker, just as they were instructed to do. He prostituted Holly Arnold for five years. In 2010 alone we found 55 separate Internet advertisements for Miss Arnold's prostitution services.

And he had a good number of other prostitutes working for him during the time period that Miss Arnold was also his

prostitute. We found on a PDA — personal digital assistant I believe it is — file folders — it's a mini-computer — and the folders were labeled with what appeared to be street names of women, rather exotic names, such as "Sapphire." And in each one of these files were photos of nude women which Miss Arnold tells us were his other prostitutes or previous prostitutes over the years. So this is really what we have here in the background of this defendant. Not good.

In terms of sentencing, Your Honor, and the goals of the sentence under 3553(a)(2), consistent with the plea agreement my recommendation to the court is 14 years imprisonment, no fine, five years of supervised release. I look at this in terms of the purpose of the sentence as a general deterrence case, and I believe that that should be the goal of your pronouncement here today as to Mr. Booker.

I urge you, through your comments and the sentence you give to Mr. Booker, to tell the community that any men who have any inclinations like Mr. Booker has had, and in fact has acted on, that there is a very serious punishment for getting involved in this dirty destructive business. I ask that you send a message of deterrence that this business destroys the lives of some of the most vulnerable members of our community — women and children from broken homes, women and children without good male role models in their lives, women and children who involve themselves in the most humiliating conduct imaginable and not

imaginable, just so they can hear at the end of the day, as

Miss Arnold did, the hollow, manipulative, dishonest words "I

love you."

Mr. Booker himself in paragraph 24 of the PSR admitted that he was, quote, "taking advantage of women who wanted to make bad decisions," unquote. I doubt very much that these women really wanted to spend their days making bad decisions.

Once a woman has been turned out into the street by a sex trafficker like Mr. Booker, there's really no going back. The nightmares and memories, the debasement, are life-long, and I would posit that psychological damage too will never go away.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Mullins?

MR. MULLINS: Thank you, Your Honor. We're asking for a sentence of 120 months which is the statutory mandatory minimum in this case. We believe 120 months is a significant sentence for Mr. Booker, given that Mr. Booker's longest prior term of imprisonment was 36 months. So this would be more than three times greater than any term of imprisonment he has served before, and that is certainly a factor when the court is considering specific deterrence.

And the reason we believe that a variance from the guidelines is appropriate in this case — even though I do think 120 months is a extremely significant sentence — but I think a variance is appropriate in this case because I don't believe

that this case is really the heartland of sex trafficking in children and what the guidelines are necessarily getting at when they impose pretty high base offense levels for sex trafficking in children.

The evidence shows, and I think it's undisputed, that "Sapphire" was prostituting before she met Mr. Booker. And she was attempting to prostitute herself when Mr. Booker and Miss Arnold came upon her on 17th and North in the middle of the night. So this is not a case where Mr. Booker lured someone who was, for example, a runaway who had never engaged in prostitution before and lured them into the prostitution world. And I think that is one of the reasons that the base offense levels in these cases are so high, is that they are directed at activities like that, which is very common. Runaways constitute a large percentage of the prostitutes out there. And this is not a case like that.

The victim in this case also, I think it has to be considered, looked older than she was. And we have a report from a pediatrician, Dr. Wyatt, verifying that to any layperson they could not tell with any reasonable degree of certainty that "Sapphire" was under 18 -- or under 21, let alone under 18.

THE COURT: Let me stop you there to ask whether you are asserting that for mitigation purposes or indirectly attacking whether or not your client fits within the statute of which was the basis for his conviction.

MR. MULLINS: We are asserting it for mitigation purposes. I was not on this case when Mr. Booker made the decision to plead guilty, but I have reviewed the notes from that time from Mr. Wilmouth who was representing Mr. Booker at the time. I have spoken with Mr. Wilmouth personally about this issue and a decision was made that the fact that Mr. Booker did not believe she was under 18 is not a defense to the charge the way that the statute is written.

THE COURT: And the statute as written indicates that if you have a chance to see the child involved, then you may be found quilty, correct?

MR. MULLINS: Correct. And we don't dispute that
Mr. Booker had a chance to view the victim in this case. And I
have discussed this issue with Mr. Booker. I discussed it with
him today. I think, frankly, that Mr. Booker probably disagreed
with Mr. Wilmouth's analysis of the case originally, but I
believe that despite the fact that I don't think Mr. Booker
necessarily believes the law is just, agrees that it is what the
law is.

THE COURT: Mr. Wall, do you wish to address the latter points of the defendant's comments?

MR. WALL: Well, I will repeat a little bit what I said yesterday: That I charged this case -- subsection (c) of the amendment from December of 2008 kind of slipped by me. I'm embarrassed to say that, but it did. I charged this case under

the reckless disregard of the fact that she was a child. And I believed then -- I was certain then that these two knew that and didn't care about it, and I believed beyond a reasonable doubt that I could prove that to a jury once "Sapphire" got on the witness stand.

I do believe that subsection (c) as amended does apply to this case, and that Mr. Mullins' comments really hit the mark that Mr. Booker, while he may not agree with the law or think that it is just, Mr. Booker did, in fact, have an opportunity to observe "Sapphire" and, therefore, we don't even have to prove that he knew she was under 18.

THE COURT: Mr. Mullins, are there additional comments you would like to make?

MR. MULLINS: Yes, Your Honor. As I was saying,
Dr. Wyatt's report concludes that a layperson could not
determine whether "Sapphire" was under 21, let alone 18. So I
think that is also a factor that takes this case outside the
heartland of the guidelines. This is not a situation where it
was obvious to anyone that the victim was under 18.

Also -- and this is where I would take issue with the government's characterization -- or one of the areas where I would take issue with the government's characterization of the facts. Mr. Booker, I believe, took efforts to determine whether the victim was under 18. And I believe that was his practice for not necessarily altruistic reasons - although I think that

was part of it — but it was his practice just for his own self-preservation. He knew the penalties that were associated with dealing with minors. He admitted this during his debrief last week, that he would take efforts to determine whether someone was a minor by asking them questions about their families, asking them if there was anyone looking for them, asking them if they were runaways, and if that were the case he would no longer deal with that person.

Some of the Internet sites that Mr. Booker was dealing with would require a form of identification. So it wasn't necessarily his business or it wasn't necessarily beneficial to his business to deal with minors, and he avoided it. He tried to avoid it.

And I think that is why the first question presented to "Sapphire" here is, "How old are you?" Or at least one of the first questions was, "How old are you?" And the fact that it was asked so many times certainly can be seen as some doubt on the part of Miss Arnold and Mr. Booker, also can be seen as going above and beyond what maybe they would normally do to verify the age. They weren't necessarily satisfied with the first answer. I don't think that shows that they then just ignored it. They tried to -- they tried to gauge it a little further by asking her additional questions or asking her repeatedly what her true age was.

So I think it's reasonable to infer that Mr. Booker

did not know that "Sapphire" was under 18 and, because of that, that is another basis for varying from the guideline range.

Also, I think relative to the 3553 characteristics or factors are Mr. Booker's characteristics. And he does have some positives, I think, contrary to the government's statement to that effect. Mr. Booker, for whatever he has done in his past, his children love him and he has been a good father according to all reports. And it certainly is -- I mean, it's an unusual situation in that I think Mr. Booker would acknowledge he was leading almost two lives. Perhaps his family was ignoring what was going on in his other life, but in his family life he would attend all of his kids' school functions. His long-time girlfriend, Precious Richards, is in court today and she would like to address the court, but she was pleased with him as a father.

I think another ironic aspect to this case is the fact that Miss Richards works at Meta House which is a facility for women often who are prostitutes. And it certainly -- I don't know exactly what it means, but it's ironic and I think it says something about Mr. Booker that a woman who works with women who are trying to get away from prostitution also sees something in Mr. Booker as a father and as a boyfriend to her. It says something that Mr. Booker is not necessarily I think the -- somewhat of a monster that the government is portraying.

And so, I think for all of these reasons a 120-month

1 sentence is certainly sufficient but not greater than necessary 2 to achieve the purposes of 3553. 3 As I said, Miss Richards would like to address the court and Mr. Booker would also like to address the court. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Miss Richards, if you'd like 6 to be heard you may please come forward and use the lectern. 7 MS. RICHARDS: Sorry. Hello. Can I first just read 8 9 THE COURT: Can you state your full name, please. 10 MS. RICHARDS: I'm sorry. Precious Richards. 11 THE COURT: Go ahead. 12 MS. RICHARDS: Can I first just read two letters that 13 my kids wrote in regards to --14 THE COURT: You may. 15 MS. RICHARDS: This is from his nine-year-old 16 daughter. She said: "Dear Judge, I would like for my daddy to 17 come home because he is a great parent and I really love him so 18 much." 19 Sorry. 20 THE COURT: That's okay. 21 MS. RICHARDS: "He is the love of my life. He shows 22 me all the respect he can show. He takes me places I want to 23 go. I really love my daddy." She had that in bold. "He makes

me smile and laugh. Without him I can't live. So can he please

come home? He treats me as if I'm the only child. Please give

24

25

my daddy the honor to come enjoy his life. Let me enjoy being with him for the rest of his life. He will be a great father and I promise -- promise not to be mad anymore."

And this is from his son:

"I am a stepson of Amani Booker. I really want my step dad to come back because it's not only hard for my sister, it's hard for me. She has a dad but to me I really want him to come home because my father really wasn't there for me half of the time but Amani was there for me. Like, for example, he was there for all my birthdays, Christmas concerts. He's been there for me all this time. I love him so much. He's a great father to me. And I would love it if you could just give him another chance. He will be a great father and a stepfather. I want to have a great father like him."

And I just wanna say that in regards to his situation that like it seems like -- sorry -- they focus a lot on his past and the things that he's done to get his self in the criminal system, but he is really a positive person when he's around kids. And he has -- I mean, he's always been a person of his word. And like, him being in here, we talked about whenever the outcome of this situation when he does come home what we will do from this point as a family to make sure that this situation doesn't happen again. And it's not really -- the sentence doesn't really just affect him, it affects me and the kids. And Amani knows that whatever the outcome of this situation we'll be

there for him. Just know it's really difficult. And I really wish that, you know, you know, that could be tooken into consideration when it comes to his sentencing today.

I appreciate you listening to me, too. Thank you.

THE COURT: I appreciate your comments. They certainly provide a different perspective concerning Mr. Booker.

Mr. Booker?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I just wanna say that, you know, I know I made a lot of bad decisions in my -- in my life, and I'm hurting a lot of people right now. Something -- I mean, there's something that makes me make bad decisions that I just don't think that, you know, that it could lead -- that it eventually lead to this. My mother and my parents been telling me this a long time ago that, you know, these negative decisions always lead to, you know, something negative in return.

I just happened to always, you know, look for the fastest way that I could be able to take care of things. And, you know, I guess basically I had to learn everything the hard way. That, you know -- you know, that I hurt more than myself just by making all of these bad decisions that I made with my life.

And I'm 35 years old. And, you know, this is my last opportunity to make something -- you know, at least to make something of myself so my son don't follow in my footsteps and my daughter follow in my footsteps and going in the same

direction in they life.

And, you know, basically I just want Precious to know that I'm sorry and, you know, that everybody involved with this case that I'm sorry about, you know, the decisions that I made with my life. And I'm here to accept responsibility, you know, for everything that I've done in my life that's been so negative on everybody else. You know.

And I just want an opportunity, you know, to show them that I'm really not a bad person. I just sometimes -- you know, I just sometimes, you know, doing things as easy as I can. And easy don't never turn out good. And seems like I gotta learn that the hard way. The easy never turns out good.

THE COURT: Mr. Booker, I have to say that what I heard today comes off as the story of two Amani Bookers. Your girlfriend and your children have been exposed to some very good things, the positive side of you. Your co-defendant and the child victim of your prostitution related activities have seen someone else.

You've shown caring and concern of a parent for your daughter, yet you showed something quite different to someone else's daughters. You have used and abused women and at least one child. You have demeaned those women and child, and you have taken advantage of their services for your benefit and for your financial well-being. You have placed women and the child victim in this case at risk for physical harm as well as medical

harm, and you have engaged in a pattern of utter disregard for the law.

The community needs to know -- and other men who might think of engaging in prostitution activities by enlisting women to engage in sexual activity for money should know -- that there is a cost, a tremendous cost that will be paid for violating the law. A slap on the wrist and a nod are insufficient. The penalty for your criminal conduct must be substantial in order to deter you from engaging in future criminal activity, and certainly for the purpose of deterring you from engaging in further prostitution related activities.

The penalty should also deter others. General deterrence, as Mr. Wall has suggested, is important, and that is one of the underlying reasons for our criminal law and for sentencing generally and sentencing in this case in particular.

18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a)(2) requires me to do that, and to take all of that into account.

I have weighed the facts and circumstances of this case and have noted that the child who was enticed to engage in prostitution here was 14 years old. While the doctor who submitted his report suggests that it might be difficult to tell from looking at her whether she was 14 or older, what you and Miss Arnold said and did suggests strongly that you didn't think she was 18. In fact, in my view you knew she was not 18. You quizzed this child about her age. Miss Arnold did also.

Miss Arnold noted how inappropriately she was dressed when you and Miss Arnold approached this child on the street at about 1:30 in the morning, as she was clearly soliciting business on the street corner. You and Miss Arnold schooled this child and made it clear to her that what she was selling for \$50 could be sold for a lot more. You humiliated this young woman and exposed her to the world by taking photographs of her and posting them on the Internet so the world can see. Her privacy was at that point shot, eliminated, perhaps for all time.

It's, therefore, the conclusion of this court that a 120-month sentence as requested by your counsel is not sufficient. On the other hand, considering what the government has noted, and in particular the responsibility you've taken in connection with this case, a sentence less than the maximum is certainly appropriate.

Mr. Wall has requested that the court impose a 168-month sentence. And when I take into account all of the factors that have been set out in this record, I concur. Such a sentence is no greater than is necessary under the circumstances. It's reasonable, yet exacts the right amount of punishment with due regard for your incarceration prior to this case, in this particular case, as well as other sentences that have been imposed.

When you have completed this sentence you're to serve

a five-year term of supervision, and during your supervision you're to comply with the standard conditions which have been imposed by this court. And let me also add you're not to violate any federal, state, or local laws.

Additionally, the following applies:

- 1. You're to report within 72 hours of your release to the probation office in the district where your release occurs.
- 2. At no time may you possess a firearm or ammunition for a firearm. If you do, an additional period of incarceration shall ensue.

Third. You may not possess any controlled substances illegally. That also means you can't use any illegal controlled substances. If you violate this term of your supervision, you will also go back to jail.

DNA, you are to submit a sample immediately or as soon as a request for your DNA is made. If for some reason you're DNA has not been collected prior to your release, you're to submit a sample of your DNA at the direction of your supervising probation officer. If you fail to do so you will again be subject to further incarceration.

The court further directs that you participate in a program of testing to include not more than six urinalysis tests per month, and residential and/or outpatient treatment for drug

abuse as approved by your supervising probation officer. During your supervision you may not use alcoholic beverages to excess.

While on supervision -- and this takes into account the discussion earlier regarding your income and taxes -- you're not to open any new lines of credit or use any existing lines of credit or lease any personal property without the prior approval of your supervising probation officer who is to have access to your personal financial information, including your federal and state income tax returns, which returns are to be timely filed and provided to your supervising probation officer immediately after they are filed.

Please note that the conditions of your supervision in this district -- perhaps they are different in another district, but within this district your monthly financial reports are due within five days of the beginning of each month. Failure to submit these reports and failure to truthfully complete the reports will subject you to an additional period of incarceration.

You have familial responsibilities and, therefore, you are to cooperate with the child support enforcement unit in the payment of any child support or arrearage and make regular payments of child support as directed by your supervising probation officer.

Because this offense involved a minor, you are not to have any contact with children under the age of 18 unless

approved in advance in writing by your supervising probation officer, and then only in the physical presence of a responsible adult who has been advised of this conviction.

If you have inadvertent contact with a minor contrary to these conditions, within eight hours you are to report that inadvertent contact to your supervising probation officer.

Please also know that you are to register as a sex offender in accordance with applicable law.

The Mandatory Victims Restitution Act, Title 18,

Section 3013 also dictates that you pay a special assessment.

As I indicated earlier, that sum is \$100. It is payable immediately to the Office of the Clerk of Court in room 362 of this building. If you do not have the ability to pay that at this time or prior to reporting to the institution or institutions designated in this case, you're to participate in the Bureau of Prisons Inmate Financial Responsibility Program as a necessary part of this payment obligation. If any portion of the special assessment remains upon your release, the balance is to be paid at a rate of not less than \$10 a month commencing 60 days following your release from custody.

I add this to your conditions of release and your judgment of conviction in recognition of difficulty -- of difficulties that defendants often have securing employment and the need to give defendants an opportunity to gain some -- or I should say realize some cash flow.

that there was a fundamental defect in the proceeding which was not waived by your guilty plea or otherwise waived as part of your plea agreement in this case, or, on the other hand, if you believe the court has imposed an illegal sentence or a sentence that is contrary to law, you may appeal. If you wish to appeal you can indicate that on the record before you leave the courtroom today and the clerk will file your notice of appeal.

Alternatively, you will have 14 days after the judgment of conviction has been docketed to file a notice of appeal. You may do that with the assistance of your counsel, or you may do it without his help. Regardless of how an appeal is initiated, Mr. Mullins is required to represent you in the appellate court and in the preparation of the documents unless or until the court of appeals states otherwise.

At this juncture the court is not imposing a fine and further determining that you qualify to appeal in forma pauperis, that is, without the payment of the usual filing fee.

What is your desire? Do you wish to appeal?

THE DEFENDANT: No. I would like to speak with my

lawyer at a later date before I make that decision.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Mullins, I trust that you will file an appropriate statement verifying your consultation with your client and the decision which has been reached.

MR. MULLINS: I will, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Is there anything else from the defense? 2 MR. MULLINS: Just a couple of things. 3 THE COURT: Surely. MR. MULLINS: We would ask for a recommendation that 4 5 Mr. Booker participate in the 500-hour drug treatment program. 6 THE COURT: I will include that in the judgment. 7 MR. MULLINS: And placement as close to Milwaukee as 8 possible. 9 THE COURT: That too will be included. 10 MR. MULLINS: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Is there anything on behalf of the 12 government? 13 MR. WALL: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: One second, please. 15 Mr. Fetherston? 16 PROBATION OFFICER: Nothing, Judge. 17 THE COURT: Very well. I wish you well. 18 Let me just add one thing, Mr. Booker. Your children 19 have indicated that they're going to miss you and that they 20 appreciate the things that you've done for them. Write them. 21 Get involved in the prison industries. Do something that will 22 give you some income -- legitimately, of course, within the 23 laws -- so that you can contact your kids, obtain commissary 24 goods, and show them through your writing and communications,

other than collect calls that are very expensive, that you care.

25

In that way you can continue to participate meaningfully in their lives and bring some good to an otherwise negative situation.

Make the best of the circumstances you have and try to counsel your kids in a way that helps them to appreciate the

counsel your kids in a way that helps them to appreciate the things that they have and encourages them to get a good education and to be the best that they can possibly be.

We stand in recess.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

(Audio file concluded at 2:42:52 p.m.)

11 * * * *

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN I, JOHN T. SCHINDHELM, RMR, CRR, Certified Transcriber for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I transcribed the foregoing audio file, and that the same is complete and accurate to the best of my ability and in accordance with the audio file as provided to me. Dated this 8th day of March, 2011. Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Official Court Reporter United States District Court